| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 09/873,649 | ONO, MITSUHIRO |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Joseph D. Torres | 2133 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | <u> </u> |
| (1) <u>Joseph D. Torres</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Docket Clerk</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 9 September 2003 | Time: <u>12:00</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: N/A | | |
| Claims discussed: N/A | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: N/A | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Docket Clerk informed the Examiner that the case has been transferred to another law firm and that the Attorney of record is no longer serving in a representative capacity for the case. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/) | Applicant's Representative Sig | gnature – if appropriate) |

U.S. Patent and Frademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)